From: Albert L. Swank Jr. [le-m@ak.net]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 10:20 AM

To: Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Palin; Sarah H (GOV)

Subject: Governors - Budget cuts & Board of Architects and Engineers - Continuing education issues

BUDGET COMMENTS:

I was very pleased to see the governor's veto of the projects that she did, she could have continued for an additional 300Mil in my opinion (total around 500 to 600Mil).

As a second generation Alaskan (57 years age) and also owning a private engineering firm involved in the construction of projects around Alaska and the world, yes this is a economic negative to my business, however the larger picture is much more important for Alaska if it is ever to develop like I would like.

The State does not and never has had a true financially balanced budget and politics have also negatively affected this issue for the self gains of a few as well as enormous negative ethics issues.

I can only hope that this current administration continues down the same path of fiscal responsibility, ethical practices and true economic development of the state. I would like nothing better than the reduction of the state government while encouraging the expansion of the private sector business areas to include manufacturing etc. which is possible within this state. In short a true development of many none governmental businesses as well as general cities development and growth. I am involved is such areas in many other cities, states and countries around the world and have found these issues extremely troublesome with regards to Alaska and its lack of performance in all such areas.

I love the State but am extremely dissatisfied with its lack of direction involving true economic growth, diversification and its continued expansion of State government and political corruption. Both my private and business interests have been affected by such issues directly (corruption) and as a result I will be filling several law suits against the State of Alaska and some of it's employees within the next 4 months.

CONTINUING EDUCATION COMMENTS:

I have previously sent and voiced my opinion with regards to the Continuing education issue involving the Board of Architects and engineers licensing.

I have attached an email sent to the board by another professional state engineer which also addresses many of my concerns.

Please be aware that the board has received hundreds of such complaints but no doubt has not forwarded them to your office.

This state administrative organization and it's board have also made statements that they have no interest in the views and opinions and desires of the licensed members and are going to proceed forward with such regulations.

This is astounding to me and thus I now feel that I must add the following additional comments to be forward to both the governors office as well as the OMB.

1. THIS RESPONSE BY A STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT, LEGALLY DEMONSTRATES THE BOARDS POSITION AND THE STATES POSITION (THE STATE EMPLOYEES) REGARDLESS OF:

- THE POSITION OF ALL PROFESSIONALLY LICENSED INDIVIDUALS (I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE A DEMOCRATIC REPRESENTATIVE PROCESS)
- DOES THE BOARD NOT REPRESENT THE LICENSED INDIVIDUALS?
- THE STATE OF ALASKA LEGAL STATUES
- THE STATE OF ALASKA CONSTITUTION
- THE "ENTIRE MANDATE OF THE BOARD" AND NOT "SELECTIVE TWISTING AND MISUSE OF THE MANDATE BY?"

2. THE QUESTION IS ALSO NOW STARTING TO BE RAISED

- DO WE HAVE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITHIN THE BOARD ?
- DO WE HAVE DIRECT OF INDIRECT FINANCIAL GAINS TO EITHER BOARD MEMBERS OR STATE OF ALASKA STAFF, EMPLOYEES
 OR PUBLIC REPRESENTATION?
- I WILL AGAIN NOTE THAT REQUESTING INDIVIDUALS HAVE NEVER BEEN FURNISH WITH "WHO OR WHICH PARTIES" ARE
 PUSHING THIS. WHICH PUBLIC REPRESENTATION, STATE DEPARTMENT, ETC. WHO ARE THE LOBBYIST INVOLVED? WHO IS
 PAYING THE LOBBYISTS?
- 3. WHAT HISTORICAL JUSTIFICATION EXISTS FOR THIS POLICY OR ACTION BY THE BOARD?
 - HOW MANY YEARS OF RECORDS AND ACTUAL COMPLAINTS (BOARD MAINTAINS) EXISTS WITH REGARDS TO PUBLIC COMPLAINTS AGAINST ENGINEERS INVOLVING SUCH ISSUES?
 - THESE RECORDS WILL SHOW AND PROVE THAT THE COMPLAINTS OR ISSUE'S INVOLVED WOULD "NOT" HAVE BEEN PREVENTED BY CONTINUING EDUCATION?
 - AGAIN ACTUAL COMPLAINTS AND RECORDS WILL SHOW "OTHERWISE" THUS NO BASIS EXISTS.
 - NOW "PRECONCEIVED THOUGHT PATTERNS BY INDIVIDUALS WILL SAY YES IT WILL (EDUCATION IS ALWAYS A POSITIVE).
 - THIS IS WHAT THE PARTIES PUSHING THIS ARE HOPING FOR AND THAT THE STATE AND BOARD WILL NOT PERFORM A RESPONSIBLE PROPER REVIEW OF ALL ISSUES INVOLVED.
 - FACTS ARE THE OPPOSITE, NOTHING WILL CHANGE (SAME PUBLIC COMPLAINTS BASIS WILL BE CONTINUED TO BE FILLED)
 AND THUS UNCHANGED.
 - THUS THE PUBLIC "WILL NOT BE PROTECTED AS NOW BEING USED AS PART OF THE BASIS AND LOGIC"
 - OH BUT HOW \$\$\$\$ WILL BE MADE BY SOME INDIVIDUALS AND OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES.
- 4. AGAIN I AND MOST INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT AGAINST "VOLUNTARY" CE COMPLIANCE AND THUS MAINTAINING OUR INDIVIDUAL DECISIONS AND RIGHTS. THAT IS HAVING THE PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES PERFORM SUCH AND <u>NOT THE STATE OF ALASKA</u>. THIS IS AGAIN WHAT IS DONE FOR ACCOUNTANTS, ATTORNEYS AND DOCTORS. ENGINEERS ARE WITHIN THIS SAME GROUP OF "PROFESSIONALS".

IT IS MY OPINION THAT THESE PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES HAVE STARTED A NATIONWIDE EFFORT OF LOBBING FOR SUCH AT THE STATE LEVEL TO AGAIN GET THEM \$\$\$\$\$ THIS BEING THE EASIEST WAY TO ACCOMPLISH THEIR GOAL OF SELF ECONOMIC GAIN WITHOUT TRYING TO PERFORM THIS THEMSELVES WITH THEIR MEMBERS. THIS ALSO MAKES THEM LOOK BETTER AND THUS TRYING TO PORTRAY THAT THEY ARE NOT THE CULPRIT BUT RATHER THE STATE GOVERNMENT. THEIR MAY ALSO BE SOME LOCAL LOBBING AND MANIPULATION BY OTHER GROUPS AS WELL FOR SELF GAINS OR ADVANTAGES.

5. THIS APPEARS DEFINITELY HEADED TO A COURT CHALLENGE AND CIVIL LAWSUIT AGAINST THE STATE OF ALASKA, PARTIES INVOLVED AND ALSO THE OPTION OF FILLING AN INJUNCTION AS WELL.

 STATE PARTIES INVOLVED HAVE NOT ADEQUATELY GATHERED THE APPROPRIATE DATA AND PREFORMED ALL ISSUES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AS REQUIRED BY PRIOR OFFICIALS OF THE STATE, STATE LEGAL STATUES AND THE STATE OF ALASKA CONSTITUTION.

Albert Langdon Swank Jr. P.E. Langdon Engineering & Management

From: frank bailey [ftb907@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 8:56 AM

To: Sarah Palin

Cc: Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)

Subject: ACT

Got a positive phone call from a Fred Sturman, the Vice President of Alliance of Concerned Taxpayers on the Peninsula this morning who wanted to pass on to someone "Could you just tell the Governor that we think she is doing an absolutely wonderful job? It is so wonderful to see someone finally stand up to growing government."

F

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 1:43 PM

To: Spencer; Kari L (GOV)

Subject: FW: Budget

From: Charley Larson [mailto:charley_larson@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 12:55 PM **To:** Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: RE: Budget

I am pretty much sure Sarah sees only the nice ones but thank you anyway. Charley

"Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)" <governor@alaska.gov> wrote:

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Sunday, July 01, 2007 1:43 PM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. Charley Larson

address: 8214 Aspen Ave Juneau AK 99801

907-789-7544

MESSAGE:

Elton questioned Palin's criteria in making cuts, eliminating projects in Juneau while approving similar projects in her hometown of Wasilla.

"I'm upset," he said. "I don't understand it."

Raising Elton's ire: Cutting \$100,000 for improvement to Juneau's Paul Emerson Park, while funding a \$630,00 kitchen for a Wasilla sports complex. Cutting \$100,000 for a new ball field in the Mendenhall Valley, while spending \$100,000 for bleachers in Palmer.

Governor Palin,

I copied the above text from the newspaper article about your budget cuts. You cut 100 K for a new ballfield here in Juneau but leave intact 6 and a half times that amount for a kitchen at a Wasilla ballfield

complex? You cut 100 K for a park project here in Juneau while leaving in the same amount for bleachers in Palmer? With all due respect, Ms. Palin, you are not Governor of Wasilla/Palmer. You are Governor of Alaska. This absolutely reeks of Mat-Su favoritism. Shame on you.

Sincerely, Charley Larson

charley_larson@yahoo.com

Boardwalk for \$500? In 2007? Ha! Play Monopoly Here and Now (it's updated for today's economy) at Yahoo! Games.

From:

Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: To: Monday, July 02, 2007 10:41 AM andypope99801@yahoo.com

Subject:

RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Sunday, July 01, 2007 3:36 AM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. andy pope

address: 895 w 12th st #202 Juneau AK 99801

907 586 0111

MESSAGE:

I, like many others, am very disappointed you vetoed the appropriation (\$100.000) to make the Juneau City And Borough Paul Emerson Park disabled accessable.

Why is it those who are the most needful and have the fewest resources and opportunities for a normal life are the first to punished by budget cuts?

When you next enjoy outdoor recreation at the beach look around you and count the disabled, if any. I assure you there will be none at the Paul Emerson City Park at False outer point. And consider how many would have loved to spend a weekend camping with their friends and family at the Emerson campgrounds. But it wont happen because it is not disabled accessable.

As a registered Republican for 53 years I have always supported fiscal restraint but I have never considered that it should began with those among us who have been left behind. And please dont cite the Senior Care program in excuse. That was done by the Legislation, and in any case as a 74 year old low income Senior who will receive the full amount (\$250.00) I opposed the grant as being excessive.

There would have been little need for such vetoes if you had saved money by holding the

Sesson in the state capital. It was such spending(a jet plane) that was the undoing of our last Governor, and if you continu to waste money with your "Capital Move" it may well be your undoing

Respectfully, but very disappointed,

Andy Pope 895 w 12 th st #202 Juneau, Ak 99801 (907) 586 0111

andypope99801@yahoo.com PLEASE ADD TO E-NEWS

From:

Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent:

Monday, July 02, 2007 10:19 AM

To: Subject: scokinc@ak.net RE: Seniors

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Sunday, July 01, 2007 1:31 PM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Seniors

Web mail from: Ms. Patricia Branson

address: 302 Erskine Kodiak AK 99615

907-486-6181

MESSAGE:

Gov. Palin:

First I would like ot thank you for extending Senior Care benefits for seniors until July 31, 2007. This act prompted the Legislature to take up the bill in a special session and do the right thing in getting theese much needed benefits for low income Alaskan seniors in place.

Second: With your capital budget vetoes, our agency, Senior Citizens of Kodiak, Inc. was vetoed \$15,000 for equipment and maintenance projects. I understand your need to hold budgets in line. What is disappointing to me as an Executive Director is that our agency has taken advantage to write grants for capital projects every time a grant proposal for deferred maintenance is available from the state. There have been several deferred maintenance grants available just for senior centers, and agencies who serve seniors and other Alaska Mental Health Trust beneficiaries in the last two years. Many of the senior agencies who were not vetoed for capital projects in the 2008 capital budget have not done taken the time or used the opportunity to write proposals for these grants, but yet they have been awarded maintenance and equipment funds in the FY2008 capital budget. Their funds stayed in tact.

So the message I am receiving from the state is those agencies who do not write grants (our last one for deferred maintenance was 231 pages in length), get funded and rewarded for NOT writing grants and we who do, get penalized. The reason given for our agency's veto was that the "funds were duplicate." I am guessing this referral was to the recent

grant award we received from the 231 pages-the grant amount was \$68,000. When I reviewed the capital budget and noted projects in Sterling, Soldotna, Nikiski and all around the state and I went back to review to see if any of these agencies had written deferred maintenance grants, (I always ask for the list of agencies who have written the grants and the scores for each at the end of the grant process), I was amazed to see that none had done so. So, our agency writes the grant (and you can only do so on weekends and week nights to complete the grant proposal) and we get \$15,000 taken away-those funds which could have been used!

for OTHER maintenance projects for our building (built in 1985).

It is discouraging to have taken such time and efforts on behalf of our staff and Board of Directors to continue to seek grants funds, write the proposals, get awarded the funds, only to know that there is an "easier" way of getting those funds. I only wish I had known this ahead of time.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Patricia Branson

Executive Director

Senior Citizens of Kodiak, Inc.

scokinc@ak.net

From:

Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: To: Monday, July 02, 2007 10:16 AM charley_larson@yahoo.com

Subject:

RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Sunday, July 01, 2007 1:43 PM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. Charley Larson

address: 8214 Aspen Ave Juneau AK 99801

907-789-7544

MESSAGE:

Elton questioned Palin's criteria in making cuts, eliminating projects in Juneau while approving similar projects in her hometown of Wasilla.

"I'm upset," he said. "I don't understand it."

Raising Elton's ire: Cutting \$100,000 for improvement to Juneau's Paul Emerson Park, while funding a \$630,00 kitchen for a Wasilla sports complex. Cutting \$100,000 for a new ball field in the Mendenhall Valley, while spending \$100,000 for bleachers in Palmer.

Governor Palin,

I copied the above text from the newspaper article about your budget cuts. You cut 100 K for a new ballfield here in Juneau but leave intact 6 and a half times that amount for a kitchen at a Wasilla ballfield complex? You cut 100 K for a park project here in Juneau while leaving in the same amount for bleachers in Palmer? With all due respect, Ms. Palin, you are not Governor of Wasilla/Palmer. You are Governor of Alaska. This absolutely reeks of Mat-Su favoritism. Shame on you.

Sincerely,

Charley Larson

charley_larson@yahoo.com

From:

Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent:

Monday, July 02, 2007 10:13 AM

To:

yrc@acsalaska.net

Subject:

RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Sunday, July 01, 2007 5:10 PM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. Kelly Wolf

address: P.O Box 2416 Kenai AK 99611

907-262-1032

MESSAGE:

To the Honorable Governor Palin

While Firday afternoon leaving Resurrection Valley I received the phone call that you Veto the Firendship missions 70K in Kenai Alaska. Disappointed was the 1st reaction I had, about the news. but as founder of Youth Restoration Corps I love hard work, I know how to get things done. So I wanted to email you to test, if you really were in touch with Alaskan's or were insulated in Juneau by Tibbles and Perry.

The Test: While I'm sure you wrestled with each veto I personally believe you stood on moral ground (good Job) however I'm very disappointed in your lack of response to the largest restoration project in Alaska history which asked for nothing from the state this year. 15 youth worked the hearts out on resurrection creek this year... how difficult could it have been to send them a note of "kodo's" or have you even seen the DVD's

Shame on you!!!

Rep Kelly Wolf Retired

yrc@acsalaska.net

From:

Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov] Monday, July 02, 2007 9:30 AM Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Most imp bill to sign today or tomrw is ethics so hollis and others need too be notified and participate in the event - today or tomrw.

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 10:52 AM

To: Spencer; Kari L (GOV)

Subject: FW: Governors - Budget cuts & Board of Architects and Engineers - Continuing education issues

From: Albert L. Swank Jr. [mailto:le-m@ak.net]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 10:20 AM

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Palin, Sarah H (GOV)

Subject: Governors - Budget cuts & Board of Architects and Engineers - Continuing education issues

BUDGET COMMENTS:

I was very pleased to see the governor's veto of the projects that she did, she could have continued for an additional 300Mil in my opinion (total around 500 to 600Mil).

As a second generation Alaskan (57 years age) and also owning a private engineering firm involved in the construction of projects around Alaska and the world, yes this is a economic negative to my business, however the larger picture is much more important for Alaska if it is ever to develop like I would like.

The State does not and never has had a true financially balanced budget and politics have also negatively affected this issue for the self gains of a few as well as enormous negative ethics issues.

I can only hope that this current administration continues down the same path of fiscal responsibility, ethical practices and true economic development of the state. I would like nothing better than the reduction of the state government while encouraging the expansion of the private sector business areas to include manufacturing etc. which is possible within this state. In short a true development of many none governmental businesses as well as general cities development and growth. I am involved is such areas in many other cities, states and countries around the world and have found these issues extremely troublesome with regards to Alaska and its lack of performance in all such areas.

I love the State but am extremely dissatisfied with its lack of direction involving true economic growth, diversification and its continued expansion of State government and political corruption. Both my private and business interests have been affected by such issues directly (corruption) and as a result I will be filling several law suits against the State of Alaska and some of it's employees within the next 4 months.

CONTINUING EDUCATION COMMENTS:

I have previously sent and voiced my opinion with regards to the Continuing education issue involving the Board of Architects and engineers licensing.

I have attached an email sent to the board by another professional state engineer which also addresses many of my concerns.

Please be aware that the board has received hundreds of such complaints but no doubt has not forwarded them to your office.

This state administrative organization and it's board have also made statements that they have no interest in the views and opinions and desires of the licensed members and are going to proceed forward with such regulations.

This is astounding to me and thus I now feel that I must add the following additional comments to be forward to both the governors office as well as the OMB.

1. THIS RESPONSE BY A STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT, LEGALLY DEMONSTRATES THE BOARDS POSITION AND THE STATES POSITION (THE STATE EMPLOYEES) REGARDLESS OF:

- THE POSITION OF ALL PROFESSIONALLY LICENSED INDIVIDUALS (I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE A DEMOCRATIC REPRESENTATIVE PROCESS)
- DOES THE BOARD NOT REPRESENT THE LICENSED INDIVIDUALS?
- THE STATE OF ALASKA LEGAL STATUES
- THE STATE OF ALASKA CONSTITUTION
- THE "ENTIRE MANDATE OF THE BOARD" AND NOT "SELECTIVE TWISTING AND MISUSE OF THE MANDATE BY?"
- 2. THE QUESTION IS ALSO NOW STARTING TO BE RAISED
 - DO WE HAVE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITHIN THE BOARD ?
 - DO WE HAVE DIRECT OF INDIRECT FINANCIAL GAINS TO EITHER BOARD MEMBERS OR STATE OF ALASKA STAFF, EMPLOYEES
 OR PUBLIC REPRESENTATION?
 - 1 WILL AGAIN NOTE THAT REQUESTING INDIVIDUALS HAVE NEVER BEEN FURNISH WITH "WHO OR WHICH PARTIES" ARE PUSHING THIS. WHICH PUBLIC REPRESENTATION, STATE DEPARTMENT, ETC. WHO ARE THE LOBBYIST INVOLVED? WHO IS PAYING THE LOBBYISTS?
- 3. WHAT HISTORICAL JUSTIFICATION EXISTS FOR THIS POLICY OR ACTION BY THE BOARD?
 - HOW MANY YEARS OF RECORDS AND ACTUAL COMPLAINTS (BOARD MAINTAINS) EXISTS WITH REGARDS TO PUBLIC COMPLAINTS AGAINST ENGINEERS INVOLVING SUCH ISSUES?
 - THESE RECORDS WILL SHOW AND PROVE THAT THE COMPLAINTS OR ISSUE'S INVOLVED WOULD "NOT" HAVE BEEN PREVENTED BY CONTINUING EDUCATION?
 - AGAIN ACTUAL COMPLAINTS AND RECORDS WILL SHOW "OTHERWISE" THUS NO BASIS EXISTS.
 - NOW "PRECONCEIVED THOUGHT PATTERNS BY INDIVIDUALS WILL SAY YES IT WILL (EDUCATION IS ALWAYS A POSITIVE).
 - THIS IS WHAT THE PARTIES PUSHING THIS ARE HOPING FOR AND THAT THE STATE AND BOARD WILL NOT PERFORM A
 RESPONSIBLE PROPER REVIEW OF ALL ISSUES INVOLVED.
 - FACTS ARE THE OPPOSITE, NOTHING WILL CHANGE (SAME PUBLIC COMPLAINTS BASIS WILL BE CONTINUED TO BE FILLED)
 AND THUS UNCHANGED.
 - THUS THE PUBLIC "WILL NOT BE PROTECTED AS NOW BEING USED AS PART OF THE BASIS AND LOGIC"
 - OH BUT HOW \$\$\$\$ WILL BE MADE BY SOME INDIVIDUALS AND OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES.

4. AGAIN I AND MOST INDIVIDUALS ARE NOT AGAINST "VOLUNTARY" CE COMPLIANCE AND THUS MAINTAINING OUR INDIVIDUAL DECISIONS AND RIGHTS. THAT IS HAVING THE PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES PERFORM SUCH AND <u>NOT THE STATE OF ALASKA</u>. THIS IS AGAIN WHAT IS DONE FOR ACCOUNTANTS, ATTORNEYS AND DOCTORS. ENGINEERS ARE WITHIN THIS SAME GROUP OF "PROFESSIONALS".

IT IS MY OPINION THAT THESE PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES HAVE STARTED A NATIONWIDE EFFORT OF LOBBING FOR SUCH AT THE STATE LEVEL TO AGAIN GET THEM \$5\$\$\$ THIS BEING THE EASIEST WAY TO ACCOMPLISH THEIR GOAL OF SELF ECONOMIC GAIN WITHOUT TRYING TO PERFORM THIS THEMSELVES WITH THEIR MEMBERS. THIS ALSO MAKES THEM LOOK BETTER AND THUS TRYING TO PORTRAY THAT THEY ARE NOT THE CULPRIT BUT RATHER THE STATE GOVERNMENT. THEIR MAY ALSO BE SOME LOCAL LOBBING AND MANIPULATION BY OTHER GROUPS AS WELL FOR SELF GAINS OR ADVANTAGES.

5. THIS APPEARS DEFINITELY HEADED TO A COURT CHALLENGE AND CIVIL LAWSUIT AGAINST THE STATE OF ALASKA, PARTIES INVOLVED AND ALSO THE OPTION OF FILLING AN INJUNCTION AS WELL.

 STATE PARTIES INVOLVED HAVE NOT ADEQUATELY GATHERED THE APPROPRIATE DATA AND PREFORMED ALL ISSUES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AS REQUIRED BY PRIOR OFFICIALS OF THE STATE, STATE LEGAL STATUES AND THE STATE OF ALASKA CONSTITUTION.

Albert Langdon Swank Jr. P.E. Langdon Engineering & Management

11/13/2009

From:

Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov] Monday, July 02, 2007 8:32 AM Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)

Sent: To:

Subject:

Fw: Sunday

---- Original Message ----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)

To: Mason, Janice L (GOV)

Cc: Cayce, Sunny C (GOV)

Sent: Mon Jul 02 08:31:45 2007

Subject: Sunday

G'morning! Pls note on sched yesterday delivery of AGIA RFA for my review. Delivered to Wasilla.

Thnksl

From: Sent:

Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov] Monday, July 02, 2007 8:32 AM Mason; Janice L (GOV) Cayee; Sunny C (GOV)

To: Cc:

Subject:

Sunday

G'morning! Pls note on sched yesterday delivery of AGIA RFA for my review. Delivered to Wasilla.

Thnks!

From:

Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent:

Monday, July 02, 2007 8:31 AM

To:

akman37@yahoo.com

Subject:

RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Saturday, June 30, 2007 6:16 AM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Budget

Web mail from: chuck pierce

address: 15417 mothershead lane palmer AK 99645

MESSAGE:

Way to go!!!!!!!Finily a gov that is standing behind what she said she was going to do. Keep up the good work. Now if we can overhaul tier 2 we will be on the right path back. But do have question for you. How can I get the matsu bourogh to let us have another auto race track on the alaska raceway park property. It has been all laid out but the bourogh said no before they even looked at our propsel (sorry spelling is of bit off its 4:30 in the am. Any susgestions would be help full

chuck pierce

;

akman37@yahoo.com

From:

Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent:

Monday, July 02, 2007 10:54 AM donwinchester@yahoo.com

Subject:

RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 9:57 AM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Ms. Don Winchester

address: 3230 Spinnaker Anchorage AK 99516

907-522-1937

MESSAGE:

Dear Governor:

Re: Dimond Alumni Field Project

It was very disapponting to have our project funding vetoed this last week. We have been working on this project the last 8 years. We are a non profit organization that have zero dollars spent for administration cost (100% volunteer). We use every dime that comes in to the project(s) we are working on. We the Alumni have done the mainteneance on the field the last 8 years because of the tight funds for the school district for maintenance.

Because of our long standing accomplishments in our community we were able to put in place a number of ways to save cost for this project (frieght \$70,000.00 saving to name one). Because of your veto to complete this project it will cost apporx. \$500,000 more plus add time.

Politicians are also pleading for the communities to be involved. Well this community just got its face slapped.

Don Winchester

907-522-1937 wk

907-345-7049 hm 907-529-8550 cell

donwinchester@yahoo.com

From: Sent: To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Monday, July 02, 2007 8:17 PM

Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Thompson; G (DNR); Galvin; Patrick S (DOR); Rutherford; Martha K (DNR); Davis; Marcia R (DOR); Gibson; Kurtis K (DNR); Scott; Antony G (DNR); Johnson; Anne L (LAW); Harris; Bonnie E (LAW); Ostrovsky; Larry (LAW); Anders; Bruce F (DNR);

Rutz; Christopher (AIDEA); Marsh; Kenneth E (DNR); Crosley; Marie M (DNR); SheplerD@gtlaw.com; MinesingerK@gtlaw.com; j_sledge@westney.com; e_briel@westney.com; j_coppens@westney.com; IvesDM@bv.com; Scott.Hobbs@comcast.net; Smithsr@bv.com; Balash; Joseph R (GOV)

Subject:

Re: RFA is up

Oh yeah!!!

Amazing job. Amazing team.

Alaska thanks you!

Sarah

Palin

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

----Original Message-----

From: "Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>

Date: Mon, 02 Jul 2007 20:15:38

Cc:Palin Sarah' <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: RFA is up

All of the hard work had produced an excellent product-what a team!

Nan

From:

Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: To: Monday, July 02, 2007 2:12 PM thedavisfamily@myway.com

Subject:

RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

----Original Message----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 2:07 PM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Ms. Anna Davis

address: 13761 Venus Way Anchorage AK 99515

907-351-9803

MESSAGE:

After traveling for the past 2 weeks and spending one week in Las Vegas at the Soccer Regionals where my son and his team were representing the State of Alaska, I am very discouraged concerning your decision to veto all high school sports requests except some of the Chugiak funding.

First, I was told all the other teams representing Ca, Az, Nv, Id, Wa, and Or were just finishing their soccer season and Ak was on just beginning the season. This is true because my son's team had 2 weeks prior to leaving to practice and play games, as did most of the other teams, due to lack of playable fields.

Second, because there is only one outdoor and one indoor turf field in Anchorage that can be used for soccer/sports practice, and AK was represented by 6-8 teams in various age groups, and all the fields were being used by someone else.

Third, we cannot use city fields until they dry out or the park area will be ruined, playing without cleats is dangerous.

Of the teams that competed only the 2 youngest teams made it to the quarter finals. I was told this was a first for AK.

The regionals are a joke for Alaskans and the other teams know it. Truthfully, we are the true underdogs, the kids that play on ice, live in igloos, ride sled dogs to school. You should know the usual Ak talk. Right, we are spending lots of state money on advertising to change that opinion, WOULDN'T IT BE BETTER TO USE SOME OF THAT STATE MONEY TO HAVE OUR CHILDREN BE OUR OFFICIAL ALASKAN AMBASSADORS AND REALLY CHANGE THE WAY PEOPLE LOOK AT ALASKANS.

I felt sorry for my son and his team, they managed to keep the spirit and did score against one of the toughtest teams in the tournament. That was their glory for now and forever without some kind of turf to practice on, outdoors and in the snow.

Did you know the high school soccer players each year shovel off the turf at AFS inorder to play a full varsity soccer season, only the junior varsity have half of their games canceled because there is no fields to play on.

I would be more than happy to answer any questions you might have concerning soccer in Alaska. Unfortunately, I voted for you this term, but you will have to do something for my son and those children that want to shine for Alaska in sports before I vote for you again.

thedavisfamily@myway.com